

Norman Dill Rivanna **COUNTY OF ALBEMARLE** 

Diantha H. McKeel Jack Jouett

Richard Randolph Scottsville Office of Board of Supervisors 401 McIntire Road Charlottesville, Virginia 22902-4596 (434) 296-5843 FAX (434) 296-5800

Liz A. Palmer Samuel Miller

Ann H. Mallek White Hall

September 17, 2018

Ned Gallaway Rio

## VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, District of Columbia 20554

> RE: Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, WC Docket No. 17-84; Accelerating Wireless Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers to Infrastructure Investment, WT Docket No. 17-79

Dear Ms. Dortch,

As Supervisor of the rural White Hall district in Albemarle, I write to express concerns over the Federal Communications Commission's proposed Declaratory Ruling and Third Report and Order regarding state and local governance of small cell wireless infrastructure deployment. Albemarle has a broadband authority, which is working to incentivize deployment of broadband to our underserved areas.

While I share the Commission's objective of finding new ways to effectively deploy broadband technologies, especially in underserved communities, I am concerned that the proposed language would significantly impede local governments' ability to serve as trustees of public property, safety and welfare. The proposed order would significantly narrow the amount of time for local governments to evaluate 5G deployment applications from communication providers — effectively hindering our ability to fulfill public health and safety responsibilities during the construction and modification of broadcasting facilities.

• The FCC's proposed new collocation shot clock category is too extreme. The proposal designates any preexisting structure, regardless of its design or suitability for attaching wireless equipment, as eligible for this new expedited 60 day shot clock. When paired with the FCC's previous decision exempting small wireless facilities from federal historic and environmental review, this places an unreasonable burden on local governments to prevent historic preservation, environmental, or safety harms to the community. The addition of up to three cubic feet of antenna and 28 cubic feet of additional equipment to a structure not originally designed to carry that

equipment is substantial and may necessitate more review than the FCC has allowed in its proposal.

- The FCC's proposed definition of "effective prohibition" is overly broad. The draft report and order proposes a definition of "effective prohibition" that invites challenges to long-standing local rights of way requirements unless they meet a subjective and unclear set of guidelines. While the Commission may have intended to preserve local review, this framing and definition of effective prohibition opens local governments to the likelihood of more, not less, conflict and litigation over requirements for aesthetics, spacing, and undergrounding.
- The FCC's proposed recurring fee structure is an unreasonable overreach that will harm local policy innovation. I disagree with the FCC's interpretation of "fair and reasonable compensation" as meaning approximately \$270 per small cell site. Local governments share the federal government's goal of ensuring affordable broadband access for every American, regardless of their income level or address. Why does the Commission so narrowly dictate the rates charged by municipalities?

Albemarle County has worked with private business to build the best broadband infrastructure possible for our residents. I oppose this effort to restrict local authority and stymie local innovation, while limiting the obligations providers have to our community. I urge you to oppose this declaratory ruling and report and order.

Respectfully submitted, Ann H. Mallek